

Course: MBA634 E-NE Managerial Economics  
Credit: 3 Semester Hours  
Semester: FA 2022, Cycle 2  
Time: Mo: 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.  
Instructor: Dr. Tracy A. Haney, DBA, MBA  
E-mail: [tracy.haney@calvary.edu](mailto:tracy.haney@calvary.edu). (office Ph) (816) 425-5162

## I. DESCRIPTION

The student will examine the application of microeconomic theory as applied to the manager's responsibility within the organization. This course emphasizes the quantitative and qualitative applications of economic principle to business and to ministry analysis with typical problems of managerial decision-making and policy formulation.

*\* This course is offered in two formats: in-person and online. Students registered for in-person attend the classes in person, online students attend the classes via the online classroom. For both in-person and online students, assignments and interaction outside of the class period are done in the learning management system.*

## II. OBJECTIVES

A. General competencies to be achieved. You will:

1. Analyze and manage decision making processes at the margin (PLO 1,2)
2. Distinguish resource trade-offs in an organization (PLO 4)
3. Determine information sources as a substantial and costly asset. (PLO 5)
4. Realize direct and indirect effects of economic actions (PLO 3)
5. Grade the subjective value of goods and services. (PLO 5)
6. Appraise strategies and plans to get the most out of limited resources. (PLO 4,5)

B. Specific competencies to be achieved. You will:

1. Develop a basic strategic plan for productivity and earnings (PLO 5)
2. Understand biblical models of economics and economic thinking. (PLO 1)
3. Discuss economic systems based on historical models. (PLO 2)
4. Identify contemporary issues facing organizations known to the student.

(PLO 3)

5. Comprehend key terms used by economists and managers. (PLO 4)

## 1. REQUIREMENTS

- a. Attendance of all classes or viewing/listening to all recorded lectures.
- b. Read text, complete assignments on time, and participate in class discussion and activities.
- c. Completion of course materials according to the prescribed schedule detailed in the Canvas Learning Environment.
- d. Complete final exam (If assigned).

*Students with disabilities have the responsibility of informing the Accommodation Support Coordinator [aso@calvary.edu](mailto:aso@calvary.edu) of any disabling condition that may require support.*

*Plagiarism is defined as copying any part of a book or paper without identifying the author. This also includes taking another person's ideas and presenting them as your own. This instructor uses software that detects plagiarism.*

Organization Development students write papers according to the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*, Sixth edition (APA Manual). The instructor suggests that students acquire the manual or resources to produce correct formatting.

*The Clark Academic Center ([learning@calvary.edu](mailto:learning@calvary.edu)) is dedicated to providing free academic assistance for Calvary University students. Student tutors aid with all facets of the writing process, tutor in various subject areas, prepare students for exams and facilitate tests. Please take advantage of this service.*

## 2. METHODS

- a. Lecture
- b. Reading assignments
- c. Written Assignments

## 3. MATERIALS (Required)

- a. The Holy Bible, [www.gatewaybible.com](http://www.gatewaybible.com), Price: \$0

The Bible is a required textbook in every course at Calvary University. To facilitate academic level study, students are required to use for assignments and research an English translation or version of the Bible based on formal equivalence (meaning that the translation is generally word-for-word from the original languages), including any of the following: New American Standard (NASB, English Standard Version (ESV), New King James (NKJV), or King James (KJV). Other translations and versions based on dynamic equivalence (paraphrases, and thought-for-thought translations like NLT and NIV) may be used as supplemental sources. Please ask the professor if you have questions about a particular translation or version.

b. Other Textbook:

Gwartney, J.D., Stroup, R.L., Sobel, R.S., & Macpherson, D.A. (2017). *Economics: Private and Public Choice*. (16<sup>th</sup> ed.). Mason, OH: South-western Cengage Learning. ISBN: 978-1305506725. Price: \$109.00

VI. COURSE ASSIGNMENTS – **Read Carefully!!**

- a. Students are to read entire course textbook, (1) *Economics: Private and public choice*. (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.) before class meets. Additional Material to be Assigned.

VII. TENTATIVE CLASS SCHEDULE

Wk Date	Class Topics	Assignments/ Discussion
Wk 1	<b>Ch 1</b> The Economic Approach ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> ) <b>Ch 2</b> Some Tools of the Economist ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> )	See Canvas Wk
Wk 2	<b>Ch 3</b> Demand, Supply, and the Market ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> )	See Canvas Wk
Wk 3	<b>Ch 4</b> Demand and Supply: Applications & Extensions ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> )	See Canvas Wk
Wk 4	<b>Ch 7</b> Taking the Nation’s Economic Pulse ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> )	See Canvas Wk
Wk 5	<b>Ch 8</b> Economic Fluctuations ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> )	See Canvas Wk
Wk 6	<b>Ch. 18</b> Gaining from International Trade ( <b>PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5</b> )	See Canvas Wk

Wk 7	Ch 20 & 21 Consumer Choice/Costs and the Supply of Goods (PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5)	See Canvas Wk
Wk 8	Ch 22-23 Price Takers & Competitive Process (PLO 1-5, SLO 1-5)	See Canvas Wk

VIII. Course Grading

Category	Number of	Points
Discussions	1 per wk= 8	200
Assignments	1 per wk= 8	700
Reading	As assigned	100

IX. BIBLIOGRAPHY (Works cited during lectures)

Baye, M. R. (2010). *Managerial economics and business strategy*. NY, NY: McGraw-Hill Company.

Jeremiah, D. (2010). *The coming economic Armageddon: What Bible prophecy warns about the new global economy*. ISBN: 978-0446565943. Retail Price: \$23.99.

Keat, P. G., & Young, P. K. Y. (2009). *Managerial economics: Economic tools for today's decision makers*. (6<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Higher Education.

Thomas, C. R., & Maurice, S. C. (2011). *Managerial economics: Foundations of business analysis and strategy*. (10<sup>th</sup> Ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Irwin.

## RUBRIC FOR THE EVALUATION OF WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS

CRITERIA	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Minimum Points	SATISFACTORY Medium Points	EXCEPTIONAL Maximum Points
<b>CONTENT</b> 75%	<p>The writer does not demonstrate cursory understanding of subject matter, and/or the purpose of the paper is not stated clearly. The objective, therefore, is not addressed and supporting materials are not correctly referenced.</p> <p><i>48.9 or FEWER POINTS</i></p>	<p>The writer demonstrates limited understanding of the subject matter in that theories are not well connected to a practical experience or appropriate examples, though the attempt to research the topic is evident, and materials are correctly referenced.</p> <p><i>49 to 65.9 POINTS</i></p>	<p>The writer demonstrates an understanding of the subject matter by clearly stating the objective of the paper and links theories to practical experience. The paper includes relevant material that is correctly referenced, and this material fulfills the objective of the paper.</p> <p><i>66 to 75 POINTS   Grade:</i></p>
<b>ORGANIZATION</b> Including Readability & Style 20%	<p>Paragraphs do not focus around a central point, and concepts are disjointedly introduced or poorly defended (i.e., stream of consciousness).</p> <p>The writer struggles with limited vocabulary and has difficulty conveying meaning such that only the broadest, most general messages are presented.</p> <p><i>15.9 or FEWER POINTS</i></p>	<p>Topics/content could be organized in a more logical manner. Transitions from one idea to the next are often disconnected and uneven.</p> <p>Some words, transitional phrases, and conjunctions are overused. Ideas may be overstated, and sentences with limited contribution to the subject are included.</p> <p><i>16 to 18.9 POINTS</i></p>	<p>The writer focuses on ideas and concepts within paragraphs, and sentences are well-connected and meaningful. Each topic logically follows the objective and the conclusion draws the ideas together.</p> <p>The reading audience is correctly identified, demonstrated by appropriate language usage (i.e., avoiding jargon and simplifying complex concepts). Writing is concise, in active voice.</p> <p><i>19 to 20 POINTS   Grade:</i></p>
<b>FORMAT</b> 4%	<p>The paper does not conform to Turabian or APA style. Students must use one or the other correctly.</p> <p><i>0 POINTS</i></p>	<p>The paper does not conform completely to Turabian or APA style (e.g., margins, spacing, pagination, headings, headers, citations, references, according to the appropriate style guide).</p> <p><i>Up to 2 POINTS</i></p>	<p>The paper is correctly formatted to style (e.g., margins, spacing, pagination, headings, headers, citations, references, according to the <i>appropriate style guide</i>).</p> <p><i>2.1 to 4 POINTS   Grade:</i></p>
<b>GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION, &amp; SPELLING</b> 1%	<p>The writer demonstrates limited understanding of formal written language use; writing is colloquial (i.e., conforms to spoken language). Grammar and punctuation are consistently incorrect. Spelling errors are numerous.</p> <p><i>0 POINTS</i></p>	<p>The writer occasionally uses awkward sentence construction or overuses and/or inappropriately uses complex sentence structure. Problems with word usage (e.g., evidence of incorrect use of Thesaurus) and punctuation persist causing difficulties with grammar.</p> <p><i>0.5 POINTS</i></p>	<p>The writer demonstrates correct usage of formal English language in sentence construction. Variation in sentence structure and word usage promotes readability. There are no spelling, punctuation, or word usage errors.</p> <p><i>1 POINT           Grade:</i></p>

### **What is a Substantive Post? © 2016**

By Skip Hessel, D.M.

In a world of social media and text-messaging, interaction between student and teacher and other students is important. However, scholars begin to blur the boundaries of academic substance. Students want to make good grades and receive fair treatment. Instructors want to share principles of their discipline. In an effort to clarify how to post thoughts in an interactive forum, these instructions attempt to define substance.

A substantive post conveys a complete thought with academic rigor. Student scholars must take into account the wide variety of readers in a social media setting and communicate appropriately. One cannot assume that every reader has had exactly the same training or even similar experiences. Ergo, one must write complete thoughts to overcome any inadequacy. Similarly, academic rigor forgoes any thoughtless conclusions. While expressing new ideas and exercising academic freedom, contributors must consider what is known about the subject and include known knowledge in academic writing. Writers should consider the level of knowledge and use certain amount of judgement too. Substance requires balance. Scholars should consider their readers and communicate as well as possible.

Because today's academic environment includes many cultures, writers must consider the inappropriate use of metaphors and colloquialisms. An expression or satire may not make sense to a reader from a culture in another part of the same country or on the other side of the world. Students posting substantive responses avoid using expressions and phrases with vague meanings that the reader could misunderstand.

Substantive posts also cite sources. When using the ideas of others, a scholar gives appropriate in-text citations when responding to forum. For example,

Blackaby and Blackaby (2011) found many believers get frustrated with technology and media that has become commonplace; however, believers must “embrace technology with gusto” (p. 8).

Others who express innovative thinking should receive credit for their ideas and intellectual property. Additionally, readers should have the opportunity to consider source materials themselves. A substantive post will include such citations.

Students often ask for specific guidelines. Unfortunately, students sometimes put minimum requirements ahead of quality responses. At the risk of students falling into this trap, the instructor believes a substantive post will contain one or two complete paragraphs that include approximately 300 words. Some substantive posts convey meaning with less; however, many require much more. Moreover, a substantive post will demonstrate the scholar’s attempt to grow. Therefore, assigned reading materials and other sources are found as in-text citations and as references. At a minimum, each substantive post will contain at least one. Students expecting great evaluations should include at least one citation in a 300+ word post.

In many ways, participating in a forum raises tremendous opportunity. The asynchronous learning environment allows students to consider the thoughts of others, to research the subject, and to respond in their own time. Students should take advantage of this unique opportunity. Your instructor will gently correct you and evaluate you along your journey. But, great students will consider how they can practice these skills from their very first post.

Reference

Blackaby, H., & Blackaby, R. (2011). *Spiritual leadership: moving people on to God's agenda*. B & H Publishing: Nashville, TN.