

15800 Calvary Road Kansas City, MO 64147-1341

# Syllabus

Course: OL448M Cutting Issues in Management

Credit: 3 Semester Hours Semester: Fall 2021 Cycle 1

Date: Monday-Friday July 12, 2021-August 20, 2021

Time: 8:00a-1:00 p.m. Location: E ED, Room 116

Instructor: Germaine D. Washington

germaine.washington@calvary.edu

#### I. COURSE OVERVIEW

A course for managers, allowing them to build upon the principles of management. The student will study current trends and developments in the field of management as found in business and in the thinking of writers in the field.

- \* This is a blended class, meaning that both campus and online students take this class together. Campus students attend the classes in person, online students attend the classes via the online classroom. All interaction and assignments for campus and online students are done in the online classroom.
- II. General Competencies to be achieved. Student will:
  - 1. Describe how the field of management is changing rapidly including the forces bringing about that change as well as the implications for both business and society in general (PLO 1,5) (Assignment a-c)
  - 2. Explain how the roles of managers and team leaders are changing in business and industry (PLO 1-5) (Assignment a,b)
  - **3.** Discuss how the structure of business in the United States is changing both in how corporations' structure themselves and in how they are structured internally (PLO 1-6) (**Assignment a-c**)
  - **4.** Explain what drives corporations in the United States today including how they see their vision and their mission along with the major activities in which they engage in pursuit of the vision and mission. (PLO 4,5,6) (**Assignment a**)
  - **5.** Describe the role that integrity, values and ethics play in management decision making (PLO 1-6) (**Assignment b-c**)

Our Mission: "...to prepare Christians to live and serve in the church and in the world according to the Biblical worldview."

# III. Specific competencies to be achieved: Student will

- 1. Prepare for the change organization's experience when manager need to motivate teams. (PLO 1, 3, 3, 4,5) (Assignment a-c)
- **2.** Understand the relationship between the movement and its effect on management operations. (PLO 1, 3, 3, 4,5) (**Assignment a-b**)
- 3. Understand the relationship between the Sexuality Identity movement and its effect on management operations. (PLO 1, 3, 3, 4,5) (Assignment a-c)
- 4. Study the role of leadership management of organizational integrity. (PLO 1,2,4,5) (Assignment a-b)
- **5.** Ensuring confidentiality with employees as they interact with social media platforms. (PLO 1,2,4,5) (**Assignment a,b**)
- 6. Examine current legal cases decided by the courts as they effect business. (PLO 1,2,4,5) (Assignment a-b)
- **7.** Achieve minimum competency to deal with conflict, cohesion and decision making as it pertains to business (PLO 1,2,3,4.5) (**Assignment a-c**)

#### IV. POLICIES

The Bible is a required textbook in every course at Calvary University. To facilitate academic level study, students are required to use for assignments and research an English translation or version of the Bible based on formal equivalence (meaning that the translation is generally word-for-word from the original languages), including any of the following: New American Standard (NASB, English Standard Version (ESV), New King James (NKJV), or King James (KJV). Other translations and versions based on dynamic equivalence (paraphrases, and thought-for-thought translations like NLT and NIV) may be used as supplemental sources. Please ask the professor if you have questions about a particular translation or version.

Students with disabilities have the responsibility of informing the Accommodations Support Coordinator (<u>aso@calvary.edu</u>) of any disabling condition that may require support.

Plagiarism is defined as copying any part of a book or paper without identifying the author. This also includes taking another person's ideas and presenting them as your own.

The Clark Academic Center (learning@calvary.edu), located in the library building, is dedicated to providing free academic assistance for all CU

students. Student tutors aid with all facets of the writing process, tutor in various subject areas, prepare students for exams and facilitate tests. Please take advantage of this service.

Business Administration students must to write papers according to the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*, Seventh edition (APA Manual).

Class Attendance: See class attendance policy in Canvas Announcements.

#### V. Course Resources

## **Required Textbooks:**

Blanchard, Kenneth & Johnson, Spencer (2019). The New One Minute Manager.

New York, NY: Berkley Books. ISBN# 978-8172234997 Retail price: \$11.00

HBR's 10 Must Reads 2019: "The Definitive Management Ideas of the Year from

Harvard Business Review" (2019). Boston. Harvard Business School Publishing.

ISBN#: 9781633696426, Retail price: \$14.49

Rutherford, Albert. (2018). The Systems Thinker: "Essential Thinking Skills for Solving Problems, Managing Chaos, and Creating Lasting Solutions in a Complex World." Independently published ISBN#: 978-1723958144. Retail price: \$16.99

#### VI. COURSE ASSIGNMENTS

#### A. Reading

- 1. The student will read the required textbooks according to the class reading schedule (to be published in Canvas). For each night of class, the student will prepare two questions per book from the assigned reading. These questions will be posted on Canvas in the discussion Board. Instruction will be posted in canvas for who we will address the questions. This will be evidence of required reading.
- 2. Discussion board will cover Key question brought up during our classroom interaction. The discussion board will be used to bring the distance learning students into the conversation. This is a graded portion of the class

- B. Writing assignments TBD and based on additional materials provided by the instructor as the class is developed.
- C. Exam

The exam will be comprehensive covering all the in-class material presented by the professor.

# VII. COURSE GRADE

| 1. | Writing assignments                         | 40%        |
|----|---|------------|
| 2. | Reading question & Canvas group discussions | 20%        |
| 3. | Final Exam                                  | <u>40%</u> |
|    | Total                                       | 100%       |

VIII.

Rubric: Will be provided for writing assignments

# RUBRIC FOR THE EVALUATION OF WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS

| CRITERIA                                       | NEEDS<br>IMPROVEMENT  | SATISFACTORY<br>Medium Points   | EXCEPTIONAL  Maximum Points   |
|--|---|---|---|
|  | Minimum Points  | Macadalli I Viito   | mannan i Units  |
| CONTENT 75%                                    | The writer does not demonstrate cursory understanding of subject matter, and/or the purpose of the paper is not stated clearly. The objective, therefore, is not addressed and supporting materials are not correctly referenced.  48.9 or FEWER POINTS   | The writer demonstrates limited understanding of the subject matter in that theories are not well connected to a practical experience or appropriate examples, though the attempt to research the topic is evident, and materials are correctly referenced.  49 to 65.9 POINTS  | The writer demonstrates an understanding of the subject matter by clearly stating the objective of the paper and links theories to practical experience. The paper includes relevant material that is correctly referenced, and this material fulfills the objective of the paper.  66 to 75 POINTS  Grade:   |
| ORGANIZATION Including Readability & Style 20% | Paragraphs do not focus around a central point, and concepts are disjointedly introduced or poorly defended (i.e., stream of consciousness).  The writer struggles with limited vocabulary and has difficulty conveying meaning such that only the broadest, most general messages are presented.  15.9 or FEWER POINTS | Topics/content could be organized in a more logical manner. Transitions from one idea to the next are often disconnected and uneven.  Some words, transitional phrases, and conjunctions are overused. Ideas may be overstated, and sentences with limited contribution to the subject are included.  16 to 18.9 POINTS | The writer focuses on ideas and concepts within paragraphs, and sentences are well-connected and meaningful. Each topic logically follows the objective and the conclusion draws the ideas together.  The reading audience is correctly identified, demonstrated by appropriate language usage (i.e., avoiding jargon and simplifying complex concepts). Writing is concise, in active voice.  19 to 20 POINTS Grade: |
| FORMAT<br>4%                                   | The paper does not conform to Turabian or APA style. Students must use on or the other correctly.  O POINTS   | The paper does not conform completely to Turabian or APA style (e.g., margins, spacing, pagination, headings, headers, citations, references, according to the appropriate style guide).  Up to 2 POINTS  | The paper is correctly formatted to style (e.g., margins, spacing pagination, headings, headers, citations, references, according to the appropriate style guide).  2.1 to 4 POINTS Grade:  |
| GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION, & SPELLING 1%            | The writer demonstrates limited understanding of formal written language use; writing is colloquial (i.e., conforms to spoken language). Grammar and punctuation are consistently incorrect. Spelling errors are numerous.  0 POINTS  | The writer occasionally uses awkward sentence construction or overuses and/or inappropriately uses complex sentence structure. Problems with word usage (e.g., evidence of incorrect use of Thesaurus) and punctuation persist causing difficulties with grammar. 0.5 POINTS  | The writer demonstrates correct usage of formal English language in sentence construction. Variation in sentence structure and word usage promotes readability. There are no spelling, punctuation, or word usage errors.  1 POINT Grade:   |

# What is a Substantive Post? © 2016 By Skip Hessel, D.M., M.B.A.

In a world of social media and text-messaging, interaction between student and teacher and other students is important. However, scholars begin to blur the boundaries of academic substance. Students want to make good grades and receive fair treatment. Instructors want to share principles of their discipline. In an effort to clarify how to post thoughts in an interactive forum, these instructions attempt to define substance.

A substantive post conveys a complete thought with academic rigor. Student scholars must take into account the wide variety of readers in a social media setting and communicate appropriately. One cannot assume that every reader has had exactly the same training or even similar experiences. Ergo, one must write complete thoughts to overcome any inadequacy. Similarly, academic rigor forgoes any thoughtless conclusions. While expressing new ideas and exercising academic freedom, contributors must consider what is known about the subject and include known knowledge in academic writing. Writers should consider the level of knowledge and use certain amount of judgement too. Substance requires balance. Scholars should consider their readers and communicate as well as possible.

Because today's academic environment includes many cultures, writers must consider the inappropriate use of metaphors and colloquialisms. An expression or satire may not make sense to a reader from a culture in another part of the same country or on the other side of the world. Students posting substantive responses avoid using expressions and phrases with vague meanings that the reader could misunderstand.

Substantive posts also cite sources. When using the ideas of others, a scholar gives appropriate in-text citations when responding to forum. For example,

Blackaby and Blackaby (2011) found many believers get frustrated with technology and media that has become commonplace; however, believers must "embrac[e] technology with gusto" (p. 8).

Others who express innovative thinking should receive credit for their ideas and intellectual property. Additionally, readers should have the opportunity to consider source materials themselves. A substantive post will include such citations.

Students often ask for specific guidelines. Unfortunately, students sometimes put minimum requirements ahead of quality responses. At the risk of students falling into this trap, the instructor believes a substantive post will contain one or two complete paragraphs that include approximately 300 words. Some substantive posts convey meaning with less; however, many require much more. Moreover, a substantive post will demonstrate the scholar's attempt to grow. Therefore, assigned reading materials and other sources are found as in-text citations and as references. At a minimum, each substantive post will contain at least one. Students expecting great evaluations should include at least one citation in a 300+ word post.

In many ways, participating in a forum raises tremendous opportunity. The asynchronous learning environment allows students to consider the thoughts of others, to research the subject, and to respond in their own time. Students should take advantage of this unique opportunity. Your instructor will gently correct you and evaluate you along your journey. But, great students will consider how they can practice these skills from their very first post.

### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Avolio, B. J., & F. J. Yammarino (2002), *Transformational and charismatic leadership: The road ahead.* Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Bolman, L. & Deal, T. (1984). *Modern approaches to understanding and managing organizations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). Transactional and transforming leadership. In J. T. Wren (Ed.) (1995), *The leader's companion: Insights on leadership through the ages* (pp. 100-101). New York: The Free Press.
- Cialdini, R. (2009). *Influence: Science and practice*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Clawson, J. (2006). *Level three leadership: Getting below the surface* (3rd Ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. New York: Bantam.
- Greenleaf, R. (1977). Servant leadership. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press.
- Hill, A. (2008). *Just business: Christian ethics for the marketplace*. (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press.
- Katzenbach, J. (2006). *The Wisdom of Teams* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York, NY: HarperCollins Publishers.
- May, S. & Mumby, D. (2005). Engaging Organizational Communication Theory & Research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Patterson, K, Grenny, J, McMillan, R, & Switzler, A. (2002). *Crucial conversations:*Tools for talking when stakes are high. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.